

Report Title:	DSG Budget and School funding proposals
Contains Confidential or Exempt Information	No – Part I
Cabinet Member:	Councillor Amy Tisi
Meeting and Date:	Schools Forum 16 November 2023
Responsible Officer(s):	Lin Ferguson – Executive Director of Children’s Services Tracey Anne Nevitt – Finance Business Partner
Wards affected:	All

www.rbwm.gov.uk



REPORT SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to provide the Schools Forum with the provisional Dedicated schools grant for 2024-25 and consultation proposals for the schools formula funding allocations. Details are set out in sections 2 to 4.

1. DETAILS OF RECOMMENDATION(S)

RECOMMENDATION: That Schools Forum notes the report:

- Including the provisional Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) budget for the financial year 2024-25.
- Main changes to the Education Skills Funding Agency (ESFA) Operational Guidance for 2024-25
- Items for consultation with the Schools Forum and Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead (RBWM) schools.

2. REASON(S) FOR RECOMMENDATION(S) AND OPTIONS CONSIDERED

Options

Table 1: Options arising from this report.

Option	Comments
Schools Forum to note the contents of the report and comment and signify support for the school consultation proposals. To make comments on the provisional budget 2024-25. This is the recommended option.	Compliance with ESFA Schools Operational Guidance and School Finance Regulations
Do nothing. This is not recommended.	The failure to use relevant financial information to understand the position of the Dedicated Schools Grant and support the 2024-25 schools formula consultation proposals.

Background

- 1.1 The Schools Funding is received through the Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) and is split into four blocks. Each with its own formula to calculate the funding to be distributed to each local authority:
 - Schools Block - funds mainstream primary and secondary schools through the school formula, and growth funding for new growing schools / bulge classes.
 - High Needs - funds places in special schools, resource units and alternative provision, and top up funding for pupils with Education & Health Care Plans (EHCPs) in all settings including non-maintained, independent and further education colleges.
 - Early years – funds nursery schools, nursery classes in mainstream schools, and early year's settings in private, voluntary and independent (PVI) sector through the free entitlement for 2, 3 and 4 year olds.
 - Central Schools Services Block (CSSB) – funds services provided by the local authority centrally for all schools, such as the admissions service.
- 1.2 The information within this report reflects the most up to date information at the time of writing.
- 1.3 In July 2023, the government announced the provisional Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) per pupil funding allocations for 2024/25. This announcement included the Schools formula funding, the Central School Services Block (CSSB) and High Needs. The Early years block and the schools pupil growth fund allocations will be sent to Local authorities in December 2023.
- 1.4 On the 9 October 2023 the DfE issued revised school funding allocations to all local authorities. This will provide the basis for the 2024-25 RBWMs schools funding and formula funding consultation. The final figures for the school funding will be based on the October 2023 census data (and for early years January 2024 and January 2023 Censuses), neither of which are currently available.
- 1.5 Arrangements for the Early years block is not to be announced until later this year. The government consulted on proposed changes in the early years funding arrangement over the summer, a report detailing the outcome and proposed Early Years national fair funding (EYNFF) allocations are due later this year.
- 1.6 The DSG must be deployed in accordance with the conditions of grant and the latest School and Early Years Finance (England) regulations. Detailed guidance is contained within the various operational guidance documents issued by the Education Funding and Skills Agency (ESFA). The latest operational guidance can be found at the following [link](#)

2 Key Implications

Outcome	Unmet	Met	Exceeded	Significantly Exceeded	Date of delivery
Schools Forum to note the contents of the report and support the proposals for consultation with schools for 2024-25.	No engagement by the Schools Forum. Less than 20% of schools engaging with consultation process.	Schools Forum engage in the process and support the proposed formula funding items for consultation with schools.	School forum support the proposed formula funding items for consultation with schools and more than 30% of schools engage with the consultation.	Schools Forum engage with the process providing insight into the impact on RBWM schools of the funding formula	16 November 2023.

4. Dedicated Schools Grant Funding 2024-25.

- 4.1 The provisional DSG notification sent out to Local Authorities on the 9th October 2023 is set out in Table 2. The table details the DSG funding RBWM receives in respect of schools, central and high needs blocks for 2023-24 compared to the latest provisional allocations for 2024-25.
- 4.2 For 2024-25 the schools block allocations now incorporate the Mainstream school Additional Grant (MSAG) in the pupil allocations. The MSAG allocations to schools will cease on the 31/03/2024. Table 3 compares the 2023-24 settlement and MSAG to the latest Schools block 2024-25 settlement.
- 4.3 The provisional school’s formula funding allocation is currently based on the October 2022 Census data. Whilst the 2024-25 schools’ formula allocations will be updated for the October 2023 pupil characteristics such as free school meal eligibility for individual schools, the DSG allocation will not. As a result, and in line with previous years, the DSG schools block allocation may not be sufficient to meet the costs of delivering the National Funding formula (NFF). Individual school allocations will continue to be funded by a local formula and a step movement towards the National Funding Formula rates.

Table 2: Comparison of DSG Block Funding 2023-24 to 2024-25

Dedicated Schools Grant	Current DSG 2023-24	Provisional Allocations 2024-25	Increase	%	Note
	£'000s	£'000s	£'000s	%	
Schools Block :Schools formula funding + MSAG.	112,506	115,118	2,612	2.3	1
High Needs Block.	28,353	29,141	788	2.8	
Central School Services Block.	995	966	(29)	(2.9)	2
Sub total	141,854	145,225			
Schools Block: Growth Fund.	1,040	TBC			3
Indicative Early Years Block.	11,268	TBC			4
Gross Dedicated Schools Grant	154,162				
Direct Funding - High Needs.	(1,435)				
Recoupment - Academies and Free Schools.	(73,331)				
Mainstream Schools Additional Grant (MSAG.)	(3,732)				
Net Dedicated schools Grant	75,664				

- 1.Schools Formula Funding including MSAG.
- 2.CSSB historic element reductions at 20% per year.
- 3.Growth funding notification due Dec 2023. 2024-25 Estimated at £1.050m.
4. Indicative Early years funding due December 2023.

Table 3: Schools Funding

Schools Block funding	£'000s
Schools Formula funding 2023-24	108,774
Mainstream Schools Additional Grant 2023-24 (MSAG)	3,732
	112,506
Provisional block funding 2024-25	115,118
Increase	2,612
% increase	2.3%

Schools Funding Overview

- 4.4 In 2024 to 2025 as in previous years, each local authority is to continue to set a local schools funding formula, in consultation with local schools.
- 4.5 The level of funding in the Schools Block for the local authority is not yet calculated using the NFF. Until primary legislation is amended via Parliament the calculation of the schools block is based upon a per pupil funding rate.
- 4.6 The latest Education Skills Funding Agency (ESFA) schools operational guide for 2023-24 included the following changes:
- 4.7 The key changes for 2024-25 financial year:
- Rolling the 2023-24 Mainstream Schools Additional Grant (MSAG) into NFF by:
 - Adding an amount representing what schools receive through the grant into the baselines.
 - By increasing the lump sum, basic per pupil rates and free school meals ever 6 (FSM6) rates.
 - Uplifting the minimum per pupil values by the Mainstream school's additional grant's basic per pupil values, and an additional amount which represents the average amount of funding schools receive from the FSM6 and lump sum parts of the grant.
 - Increasing NFF values by:
 - 1.4% to the following: Low prior attainment (LPA), FSM6, Income deprivation Affecting Children Index (IDACI), English as an additional Language (EAL), Mobility, Sparsity factor and lump sum.
 - 1.4% Minimum per pupil Level (MPPL).
 - 0.5% floor.
 - 1.6% Free School Meals (FSM).
 - 0% premises costs – split sites.
 - Introducing for the first time a methodology for allocating falling rolls funding to local authorities.

Schools Block Indicative Allocation 2024-25.

- 4.8 The level of funding in the schools' block for the local authorities are not currently funded by the NFF but are based on per pupil funding rates. The table below details the block funding per pupil.

Table 4 Provisional Schools Formula Funding

Schools Block	No of Pupils	Rate per pupil	
	Oct-22	£	£
Primary - PUF	10,996	5,028.48	55,290,673
Secondary - SUF	8,968	6,556.81	58,798,193
Premises costs (NDR)			1,099,700
Provisional Allocation			<u>115,188,565</u>

5. Schools Formula Funding Consultation 2024-25

- 5.1 From 2023-24 onwards local authorities are required to move the local formula factor values at least 10% closer to the National Funding Formula (NFF) values, building on movements towards NFF made in 2023-24 school allocations.
- 5.2 For 2023-24 the RBWM local formula mirrored the NFF plus area cost adjustment for a number of the pupil led factors. RBWM were unable to match all factors to NFF due to changes in pupil characteristics data, leading to significant pressures on the schools formula funding. This included a 17% increase in free school meal (FSM) numbers over one year and 39% increase in secondary pupils with EAL.
- 5.3 To ensure that the delegated funding via the formula agreed to the total school's formula funding in the block notification, it was decided to have a number of floating units of resource. These included the school lump sum, basic entitlement (all 3 key stages) and the newly introduced formula factor 'Sparsity'. A similar arrangement is proposed for the 2024-25 schools' formula to ensure that unit rates can be set, and a balance budget is achieved by the January 2024 submission date.
- 5.4 Included in Appendix B is a list of all the formula factors, detailing the amounts included in the 2023-24 local formula, the difference between that and the full NFF plus the Area cost adjustment (ACA) for Windsor and Maidenhead. Further columns to the right list the new 2024-25 NFF plus ACA, the minimum and maximum unit rates RBWM can apply in next year's local formula.
- 5.5 Appendix C compares the current years formula allocation per school to the estimated funding for 2024-25 assuming that the NFF is affordable in full. Both formula allocations are based on the October 2022 data set and do not reflect pupil movements and the consequential impact and payment due for the minimum funding guarantee.

- 5.6 Schools and admissions have reported significant movement of pupils in year, far greater than in previous years. This increase in mobility and expected increases in parents applying for free school meals, is likely to result in increased total numbers for these factors within the formula. Significant changes in pupil characteristics will lead to a pressure and reduce the potential to fund at NFF plus ACA in all formula factors.

Minimum Funding Guarantees

- 5.7 In addition to the main factors listed in the formula for schools funding there are two school funding guarantees. All local authorities apply these guarantees unless a decision is made by the authority to consider and request disapplication from the DfE.
- 5.8 The Minimum Funding Guarantee (MFG) is a per pupil protection to ensure funding between years does not decrease below a certain percentage. A range of 0.0% to 0.5% per pupil is set by the ESFA, the RBWM local formula is currently +0.5%. Local Authorities are required to consult annually on the MFG level.
- 5.9 NFF for 2023-24 is to be set at +0.5% and the RBWM local formula is currently +0.5%. Local Authorities are required to consult annually on the MFG level.
- 5.10 The second guarantee is a Minimum per pupil funding level, known as MPPL. MPPL is added to an individual schools funding if the local formula does not generate sufficient per pupil funding. In 2023-24 four schools triggered MPPL funding totalling £146,000.

Table 5 Minimum Per pupil Funding Level

Year Groups	MPPL
Primary	£4,655
KS3	£5,824
KS4	£6,389

Budget Consultation 2024-25

- 5.11 Each year the local authority is required to consult with Schools Forum and individual schools on changes to the formula. The local authority proposes and decides on the final formula allocations considering feedback from the annual consultation.
- 5.12 Later in the Autumn term schools will be sent a consultation survey to complete. The local authority will accept one response from each of the mainstream primary and secondary schools. The draft questions to be included in the consultation are listed in paragraph 5.18 of this report.
- 5.13 Last year RBWM consulted with schools on a number of changes to the schools formula and Notional SEN. The changes proposed for 2024-25 are limited to in principle questions on the proposed movements to bring the local formula closer

to NFF unit rates, the level of minimum funding guaranteed (MFG) and arrangements for capping & scaling the cost of MFG and annual de delegation for maintained schools.

- 5.14 In 2023-24 RBWM introduced Sparsity factor into the local formula for the first time. This factor rate was set at the minimum NFF rate following a consultation with RBWM schools, with the intention to increase each year depending on affordability and pressures within the school's formula. Sparsity funding is paid to seven schools, any increase for 2024-25 sparsity rate will be funded by all schools pupil led funding as RBWM is not currently funded at NFF.
- 5.15 Each year local authorities consult with the schools forum on any proposed changes to the current maintained schools de delegation arrangements. New areas for de delegation form part of the annual consultation for schools. For 2024-25 RBWM propose to consult with schools on the de delegation towards the cost of the School Improvement service.
- 5.16 In 2022-23 the government grant for School Brokerage and improvement ceased, reducing income to support the school improvement service. To ensure an adequate level of services to support all maintained schools a per pupil de delegated deduction of £20.00 has been proposed and is included in the table below.
- 5.17 Table 6 details the de delegation rates for 2024-25, the current year and 2022-23. The proposed rates for 2024-25 include reductions to the pupil rates for two areas, to take into consideration reduced level of claims for staff costs - Teacher's maternity costs, divisional union reps and school contingency claims in recent years.

Table 6 Maintained Schools De delegation:

	DATA	Unit Rate	Estimate	Unit Rate	APT	Unit Rate	APT
		2024-25	2024-25	2023-24	2023-24	2022-23	2022-23
		£	£'000	£	£'000	£	£'000
Primary							
School Improvement	Pupil	20	128	0	0	0	0
School Contingency	Pupil	12	77	15	97	15	103
Staff costs.	Pupil	15	96	25	160	25	171
Behaviour support (added to SEMH)	IDACI	0	0	0	0	50	21
Secondary							
School Improvement.	Pupil	20	16	0	0	0	0
School Contingency.	Pupil	0	0	0	0	0	0
Staff costs.	Pupil	15	12	25	20	25	18
		329		277		313	

School Consultation Questions

5.18 The proposed consultation questions are listed below:

Question 1:

Do you agree that the Minimum Funding Guarantee (MFG) top up should remain at +0.5%? The allowable range is 0.0% to 0.5%. In 2023-24 the total MFG cost is £19,942 which was received by 2 schools. The cost of MFG varies from year to year depending on data changes to individual schools.

- a) Yes
- b) No
- c) Not sure
- d) Other rate; please state
- e) Comments

Question 2:

Do you support the capping and scaling of school budgets to fund the minimum funding guarantee as in previous years?

- a) Yes
- b) No
- c) Not sure
- d) Comments

Question 3:

The Sparsity factor introduced into the RBWM local formula for the financial 2023- 2024 is currently funded at the minimum unit rate. Depending on affordability, RBWM proposes to increase the Sparsity unit rate. For 2023-24 only 7 schools qualified for this element of funding. The estimated cost to increase to the full NFF rate is between £160,000 to £200,000.

Which level of increase do you support for 2024-25?

- a) *Minimum 10% movement closer to NFF (minimum movement towards NFF as per guidance). Approx £18,000 based on 2023-24 data.*
- b) *Up to 20% increase in the base rate from the 2023-24.*
- c) *Up to the full NFF rate including ACA?.*
- d) *Other rate; please state.*
- e) *Comments*

Question 4:

Do you support the proposal that positive or negative headroom resulting from Census data updates should be adjusted via school lump sum, Basic entitlement,

EAL and sparsity? All 4 formula factors are currently below the NFF rates in the 2023-24 formula.

- a) Lump Sum, Basic Entitlement, EAL and mobility.*
- b) Lump Sum & Basic entitlement.*
- c) Other, please state with reasons.*
- d) Not sure.*
- e) Comments.*

Question 5:

Do you support the de delegation of School Improvement services from the schools formula, partly funded by changes in other de delegated service unit rates?

- a) Yes*
- b) No*
- c) Not sure*
- d) Comments*

6. FINANCIAL DETAILS / VALUE FOR MONEY

- 6.1 The Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) is an annual ringfenced grant. All proposals within this report are within the DSG grant funding and comply with the Schools Operational Guidance 2024-25.

7. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

- 7.1 There are no legal implications directly arising from this report.

8. RISK MANAGMENT

- 8.1 There are no potential risks directly arising from this report. The proposals are within the RBWM Dedicated Schools Grant ring fenced funding.

9. POTENTIAL IMPACTS

- 9.1 Equalities. Equality Impact Assessment is shown below in Appendix A. The Equality Act 2010 places a statutory duty on the council to ensure that when considering any new or reviewed strategy, policy, plan, project, service or procedure the impacts on particular groups, including those within the workforce and customer/public groups, have been considered. It has been assessed that there are no Equality Impact risks arising from this report. Link to Equality Impact Assessments.

- 9.2 Climate change/sustainability. There are no climate change/ sustainability risks arising from this report.
- 9.3 Data Protection/GDPR. There are no data protection/ GDPR risks arising from this report.

10. CONSULTATION

- 10.1 The annual schools funding consultation will be sent to all RBWM schools in November 2023.
- 10.2 Financial reporting including the Dedicated Schools Grant is regularly provided to the RBWM commissioners and the Achieving for Children Board.

11. TIMETABLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION

- 11.1 There is no timetable for implementation arising from this report. Annual schools' formula funding consultation process with the Schools Forum to comply with the School and Early Years Finance regulations.

12. BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

- 12.1 This report is supported by the following background documents:
- Schools revenue funding operational guide 2024-25
[:https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/pre-16-schools-funding-local-authority-guidance-for-2024-to-2025/schools-operational-guide-2024-to-2025](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/pre-16-schools-funding-local-authority-guidance-for-2024-to-2025/schools-operational-guide-2024-to-2025)
 - [Schools Forum Powers and Responsibilities.](#)
 - [School Finance Regulations](#)

13. APPENDICES

- Appendix A – Equality Impact Assessment.
- Appendix B – School Formula Factor Unit Rates.
- Appendix C – Formula Allocations 2023-24 to NFF 2024-25.

14. Consultation

Name of consultee	Post held	Date sent	Date returned
<i>Mandatory:</i>		<i>Statutory Officers (or deputies)</i>	
Elizabeth Griffiths	Executive Director of Resources/S151 Officer	16.11.23	
Emma Browne	Director of Law, Strategy & Public Health/ Monitoring Officer	16.11.23	
<i>Deputies:</i>			
Andrew Vallance	Head of Finance (Deputy S151 Officer)	16.11.23	
Jane Cryer	Principal Lawyer & Deputy Monitoring Officer	16.11.23	
<i>Mandatory:</i>		<i>Procurement Manager (or deputy) - if report requests approval to go to tender or award a contract</i>	
Lyn Hitchinson	Procurement Manager	16.11.23	1.11.23
<i>Mandatory:</i>		<i>Data Protection Officer (or deputy) - if decision will result in processing of personal data; to advise on DPIA</i>	
Samantha Wootton	Data Protection Officer	16.11.23	
<i>Mandatory:</i>		<i>Equalities Officer – to advise on EQiA, or agree an EQiA is not required</i>	
Ellen McManus-Fry	Equalities & Engagement Officer	16.11.23	31.10.23
<i>Other consultees:</i>			
<i>Directors (where relevant)</i>			
Stephen Evans	Chief Executive		
Andrew Durrant	Executive Director of Place		
Kevin McDaniel	Executive Director of Adult Services and Health (DASS)		
Lin Ferguson	Executive Director of Children's Services and Education (DCS)	16.11.23	1.11.23

Confirmation relevant Cabinet Member(s) consulted	Cabinet Member for Children's Services & Education	
---	--	--

REPORT HISTORY

Decision type:	Urgency item?	To follow item?
For information	No	No
Report Author: Tracey Anne Nevitt, Finance Business Partner, AFC		

Equality Impact Assessment

Appendix A

For support in completing this EQIA, please consult the EQIA Guidance Document or contact equality@rbwm.gov.uk

www.rbwm.gov.uk



1. Background Information

Title of policy/strategy/plan:	Dedicated Schools Grant
Service area:	Schools
Directorate:	Children's Services

Provide a brief explanation of the proposal:

- What are its intended outcomes?
- Who will deliver it?
- Is it a new proposal or a change to an existing one?

The intended outcome of the proposal is to provide Schools Forum with an updated financial position in respect of the Dedicated Schools Grants provisional settlement and consult on changes to the Schools formula funding for 2024-25, as required by regulation and the Schools Operational guidance.

This is not a new proposal and is a requirement to inform Schools Forum of the financial position of the Dedicated Schools Grant and to consult on annual schools formula changes.

2. Relevance Check

Is this proposal likely to directly impact people, communities or RBWM employees?

- If No, please explain why not, including how you've considered equality issues.
- Will this proposal need a EQIA at a later stage? (for example, for a forthcoming action plan)

No.

The school's formula funding proposals do not directly impact on pupils and other stakeholders.

If 'No', proceed to 'Sign off'. If unsure, please contact equality@rbwm.gov.uk

3. Evidence Gathering and Stakeholder Engagement

Who will be affected by this proposal? For example, users of a particular service, residents of a geographical area, staff
Stakeholders will not directly be affected by the proposals included within this report.
Among those affected by the proposal, are protected characteristics (age, sex, disability, race, religion, sexual orientation, gender reassignment, pregnancy/maternity, marriage/civil partnership) disproportionately represented? For example, compared to the general population do a higher proportion have disabilities?
There is nothing in the report which is considered to impact on this protected characteristic.
What engagement/consultation has been undertaken or planned? <ul style="list-style-type: none">• How has/will equality considerations be taken into account?• Where known, what were the outcomes of this engagement?
Schools Forum is actively engaged throughout the Schools Formula budget setting. Final schools' formula allocations are submitted to the ESFA for checking and validation.
What sources of data and evidence have been used in this assessment? Please consult the Equalities Evidence Grid for relevant data. Examples of other possible sources of information are in the Guidance document.
Not Applicable

4. Equality Analysis

Please detail, **using supporting evidence**:

- How the protected characteristics below might influence the needs and experiences of individuals, in relation to this proposal.
- How these characteristics might affect the impact of this proposal.

Tick positive/negative impact as appropriate. If there is no impact, or a neutral impact, state 'Not Applicable'

More information on each protected characteristic is provided in the Guidance document.

	Details and supporting evidence	Potential positive impact	Potential negative impact
Age	The reported grant does impact on pupils within this protected characteristic; however, as school funding is on a formula basis impact has already been considered within previous reports and decision-making processes	Yes	Not Applicable
Disability	There is nothing in the report which is considered to impact on this protected characteristic	Not applicable	Not Applicable
Sex	There is nothing in the report which is considered to impact on this protected characteristic	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Race, ethnicity and religion	There is nothing in the report which is considered to impact on this protected characteristic	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Sexual orientation and gender reassignment	There is nothing in the report which is considered to impact on this protected characteristic	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Pregnancy and maternity	There is nothing in the report which is considered to impact on this protected characteristic	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Marriage and civil partnership	There is nothing in the report which is considered to impact on this protected characteristic	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Armed forces community	There is nothing in the report which is considered to impact on this protected characteristic	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Socio-economic considerations e.g. low income, poverty	There is nothing in the report which is considered to impact on this protected characteristic	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Children in care/Care leavers	There is nothing in the report which is considered to impact on this protected characteristic	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

5. Impact Assessment and Monitoring

If you have not identified any disproportionate impacts and the questions below are not applicable, leave them blank and proceed to Sign Off.

What measures have been taken to ensure that groups with protected characteristics are able to benefit from this change, or are not disadvantaged by it? For example, adjustments needed to accommodate the needs of a particular group
Not Applicable
Where a potential negative impact cannot be avoided, what measures have been put in place to mitigate or minimise this? <ul style="list-style-type: none">For planned future actions, provide the name of the responsible individual and the target date for implementation.
Not Applicable
How will the equality impacts identified here be monitored and reviewed in the future? See guidance document for examples of appropriate stages to review an EQIA.
Not Applicable

6. Sign Off

Completed by: Tracey Anne Nevitt	Date: 20.10.23
Approved by: Louise Dutton	Date:

If this version of the EQIA has been reviewed and/or updated:

Reviewed by:	Date:
---------------------	--------------

Appendix B School Formula Factor Values						
Factor	23-24 NFF including ACA	23-24 APT	Difference between 23-24 APT and 23-24 NFF	24-25 NFF including ACA	24-25 APT Minimum	24-25 APT maximum
Primary basic entitlement	£3,590.51	£3,584.54	-£5.97	£3,770.02	£3,675.77	3,864
KS3 basic entitlement	£5,062.05	£5,053.61	-£8.44	£5,315.28	£5,182.40	5,448
KS4 basic entitlement	£5,705.25	£5,695.74	-£9.51	£5,991.60	£5,841.81	6,141
Primary FSM	£507.79	£507.79	£0.00	£518.62	£505.65	532
Secondary FSM	£507.79	£507.79	£0.00	£518.62	£505.65	532
Primary FSM6	£745.82	£745.82	£0.00	£867.89	£846.19	890
Secondary FSM6	£1,089.64	£1,089.64	£0.00	£1,270.08	£1,238.33	1,302
Primary IDACI F	£243.32	£243.32	£0.00	£248.72	£242.51	255
Primary IDACI E	£296.21	£296.21	£0.00	£301.64	£294.10	309
Primary IDACI D	£465.48	£465.48	£0.00	£470.99	£459.21	483
Primary IDACI C	£507.79	£507.79	£0.00	£513.32	£500.49	526
Primary IDACI B	£539.53	£539.53	£0.00	£545.08	£531.45	559
Primary IDACI A	£708.79	£708.79	£0.00	£719.71	£701.72	738
Secondary IDACI F	£354.40	£354.40	£0.00	£359.86	£350.86	369
Secondary IDACI E	£470.77	£470.77	£0.00	£476.28	£464.37	488
Secondary IDACI D	£655.90	£655.90	£0.00	£666.79	£650.12	683
Secondary IDACI C	£719.37	£719.37	£0.00	£730.30	£712.04	749
Secondary IDACI B	£772.27	£772.27	£0.00	£783.22	£763.64	803
Secondary IDACI A	£983.85	£983.85	£0.00	£1,000.19	£975.18	1,025
Primary EAL	£613.58	£598.24	-£15.34	£624.46	£608.84	640
Secondary EAL	£1,655.61	£1,614.22	-£41.39	£1,677.56	£1,635.62	1,720
Primary LPA	£1,221.87	£1,191.33	-£30.54	£1,238.33	£1,207.37	1,269
Secondary LPA	£1,851.33	£1,805.04	-£46.29	£1,878.66	£1,831.69	1,926
Primary mobility	£999.72	£974.72	-£25.00	£1,016.06	£990.66	1,041
Secondary mobility	£1,438.74	£1,402.78	-£35.96	£1,460.59	£1,424.08	1,497
Primary lump sum	£135,411.20	£131,144.20	-£4,267.00	142,249	138,409	145,805
Secondary lump sum	£135,411.20	£131,144.20	-£4,267.00	142,249	138,409	145,805
Primary sparsity	£59,559.77	£7,218.47	-£52,341.30	60,435	13,327	61,946
Secondary sparsity	£86,642.01	£10,509.21	-£76,132.80	87,847	19,328	90,043
Middle-school sparsity	£86,642.01	£10,509.21	-£76,132.80	87,847	19,328	90,043
All-through sparsity	£86,642.01	£10,509.21	-£76,132.80	87,847	19,328	90,043
Split sites basic eligibility funding				56,836	55,415	58,257
Split sites distance funding				28,471	27,759	29,183
London fringe	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1

FSM - Free School Meals

IDACI - Income Deprivation Affecting Children Index

EAL- English As Additional Language

LPA - Low Prior Attainment

KS - Key Stage

Appendix C Formula Funding 2023-24 compared to 2024-25 NFF.

School Sector	2023-24 Formula Funding	2023-24 MSAG	2023-24 MFG Budget plus MSAG	2024-25 Estimate @ Full NFF+ ACA (October 2022 data)	% change
	£	£	£	£	%
Primary	749,035	25,336	774,371	784,647	1.3%
Primary	1,459,320	47,085	1,506,405	1,526,800	1.4%
Primary	1,858,958	59,405	1,918,363	1,946,400	1.5%
Secondary	7,507,590	261,270	7,768,860	7,917,035	1.9%
Secondary	6,143,224	217,700	6,360,924	6,482,247	1.9%
Secondary	5,738,904	198,860	5,937,764	6,052,958	1.9%
Secondary	8,159,151	285,476	8,444,627	8,609,740	2.0%
Secondary	3,896,611	137,195	4,033,806	4,114,830	2.0%
Secondary	3,786,403	131,660	3,918,063	3,997,824	2.0%
Secondary	4,598,071	158,260	4,756,331	4,853,323	2.0%
Secondary	4,570,219	156,845	4,727,064	4,824,206	2.1%
Primary	1,872,359	64,495	1,936,854	1,977,119	2.1%
Primary	1,922,655	61,401	1,984,056	2,025,810	2.1%
Secondary	2,442,274	83,173	2,525,447	2,578,599	2.1%
Secondary	2,804,491	97,273	2,901,764	2,965,088	2.2%
Primary	1,762,782	57,903	1,820,685	1,861,532	2.2%
Secondary	2,766,119	100,266	2,866,385	2,931,078	2.3%
Primary	1,657,488	57,306	1,714,794	1,753,863	2.3%
Secondary	1,743,758	61,507	1,805,265	1,847,201	2.3%
Primary	1,729,995	57,860	1,787,855	1,829,804	2.3%
Primary	1,414,337	46,579	1,460,916	1,495,892	2.4%
Primary	1,301,518	43,935	1,345,453	1,377,826	2.4%
Secondary	3,627,957	127,687	3,755,644	3,847,235	2.4%
Primary	1,241,105	40,474	1,281,579	1,313,477	2.5%
Primary	1,265,429	41,936	1,307,365	1,340,360	2.5%
Primary	1,151,223	39,010	1,190,233	1,220,304	2.5%
Primary	491,150	16,225	507,375	520,194	2.5%
Primary	991,487	34,650	1,026,137	1,052,322	2.6%
Primary	922,599	31,173	953,772	978,167	2.6%
Primary	924,281	31,475	955,756	980,226	2.6%
Primary	1,045,776	36,287	1,082,063	1,109,916	2.6%
Primary	962,132	33,330	995,462	1,021,098	2.6%
Primary	919,661	31,741	951,402	976,051	2.6%
Primary	1,029,752	35,875	1,065,627	1,093,351	2.6%
Primary	925,987	32,663	958,650	983,682	2.6%
Primary	868,185	29,932	898,117	921,625	2.6%
Primary	937,177	32,590	969,767	995,318	2.6%
Primary	1,531,562	47,661	1,579,223	1,621,189	2.7%
Primary	951,734	31,962	983,696	1,010,238	2.7%
Primary	1,862,793	63,072	1,925,865	1,978,270	2.7%
Primary	1,162,462	37,766	1,200,228	1,232,955	2.7%
Primary	1,049,309	35,059	1,084,368	1,113,950	2.7%
Primary	954,732	32,779	987,511	1,014,512	2.7%
Primary	1,076,537	36,569	1,113,106	1,144,182	2.8%
Primary	793,735	26,548	820,283	843,274	2.8%
Primary	871,287	29,727	901,014	926,435	2.8%
Primary	804,824	27,318	832,142	855,638	2.8%
Primary	701,129	23,574	724,703	745,333	2.8%
Primary	794,383	27,992	822,375	845,937	2.9%
Primary	1,077,208	38,092	1,115,300	1,147,807	2.9%
Primary	753,609	24,157	777,766	800,510	2.9%
Primary	909,559	28,185	937,744	966,839	3.1%
Primary	608,347	20,334	628,681	648,374	3.1%
Primary	530,622	18,320	548,942	568,372	3.5%
Primary	505,871	16,603	522,474	542,150	3.8%
Primary	700,879	23,968	724,847	752,354	3.8%
Secondary	2,453,212	84,383	2,537,595	2,647,936	4.3%
Primary	644,394	23,116	667,510	705,700	5.7%
Primary	330,171	10,751	340,922	373,782	9.6%
Primary	516,375	18,412	534,787	595,609	11.4%